(*b*) the number of them which are being run in private buildings ;

(*c*) the number of them which need repair ;

(*d*) the number in respect of which amounts towards buildings have been deposited by the villagers ?

A.—**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*)	1.	Holalkere Taluk ...	82
	2.	Chitaldrug Taluk ...	160
	3.	Davangere Taluk ...	119
(*b*)	1.	Holalkere ...	77
	2.	Chitaldrug ...	148
	3.	Davangere ...	97

(*c*) The information is not readily available.

(*d*)	1.	Holalkere Taluk ...	7
	2.	Chitaldrug ...	4
	3.	Davangere ...	5

Re-survey of Mysore Taluk.

Q.—584. **Sri SHIVANANJE GOWDA** (Mysore Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware of representations made on the floor of this Assembly that re-survey must be undertaken in Mysore Taluk ;

(*b*) whether it has not come to their notice that more than 30 years have elapsed since Mysore Taluk was surveyed ;

(*c*) whether at least this year they would arrange to get re-surveyed the atchkat below the right bank low level channel of Krishnarajasagar in Mysore Taluk and convert them as wet lands ?

A.—**Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA** (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) This information is not available.

(*b*) Yes. The first Revision Settlement in Mysore Taluk took place in the year 1924-25 and second Resettlement work fell due in 1954-55.

(*c*) It will not be possible to take up the Resettlement work for the present.

I.V.C. Road from Magadi to Solur through Thaggiguppe.

Q.—712. **Sri SOLUR SIDDAPPA** (Magadi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they would undertake the formation of I.V.C. (i) road from Magadi to Solur through Thaggiguppe ; and

(ii) from Neerasandra to Sugganahalli *via* Honnapura ?

A.—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

No, as the responsibility of forming inter-village roads is not that of Government but of the District Board concerned.

Disposal of Questions.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS (St. John's Hill).—Our old questions still remain unanswered. Will the Chair inform the House what their position is ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The questions which were sent previously before this session ?

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Yes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We are writing again to get answers. Answers will be supplied before the end of this session. We have not received replies for nearly 70 questions.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Turuvekere).—What about my question to be taken up for half an hour discussion ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—To-day we can take it up. Sri Imam has come. Shall we take up the old question—Question No. 279 ?

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Yes. In connection with Question No. 279, I requested information regarding working of the arrangement or agreement that was made with the Fergusson & Company and the Minister promised to make a statement.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I have placed the statement on the Table. If the Honourable Member wants to ask any supplementaries, he can do so on Monday.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I will do it on Monday. I would also like to ask one more question so that the Minister may be prepared to answer how that tractor workshop that was started at Hebbal, is working and what is the amount spent on that workshop.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I will give that information.

Statement by Minister *re* Palar Waters.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister of Revenue and Public Works).—Yesterday Sri Imam, the Leader of the Opposition, wanted to ascertain from the Government the action they proposed to take regarding the statement said to have been made by the Madras Public Works Minister on the 10th of the last month. I have no official information on this subject. According to the Press reports, the Public Works Minister of the Madras State appears to have made a statement to the effect that he is going to address the Central Government to constitute a committee to examine the complaints in regard to the utilisation of Palar waters and it is also reported in the Press that the Madras P.W.D. Minister was not in a position to state definitely whether the Mysore State has violated the terms of the agreement or not. The utilisation of the waters of Palar river is governed by an agreement entered into between this Government and the Madras Government in the year 1892. So far as we are concerned, we have stood by the terms of the agreement, and we have not violated the terms of the agreement at any time. I can categorically state that the Madras Government have not pointed out a single instance so far where we have violated the terms of the agreement. I must also add that the ryots in the Mysore State have equally suffered because of want of rains and consequent scarcity of water in the Palar river. I must further add that if what is reported in the newspapers is correct, if the Honourable Minister for Public Works in Madras was unable to state definitely whether Mysore State had infringed the agreement ro not, there is no case at all for reference to the Central Government. Mere complaints without any basis cannot be the subject-matter of a complaint to the Central Government. I am confident that the Government of India will not constitute a committee without consulting this Government, merely to examine the complaints. It has been reported in the newspapers that the Madras Minister wants to address the Central Government to constitute an independent committee consisting of members not belonging to both the States to examine the complaints. I am thinking of writing a letter to the Madras Minister. He could have as well discussed the matter with us before making a statement. He could have avoided making a statement on the floor of the Legislature and creating an impression in the mind of the public both in this State and in Madras that this Government has violated the terms of the agreement.

As regards the restoration of the tanks in the Palar basin, it has been definitely laid down in the rules and also in the agreement that this Government has got the right to restore the original capacity, without increasing the capacity in the *ayacut*. In no case we have attempted to increase the original capacity. In the interests of good relationship between the two States, it is better that this matter is discussed and settled amicably.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Am I to understand that the matter was never discussed by the representatives of the Madras Government till now?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I have made it clear that at no time the Madras Government have said that we have violated the terms of the agreement. Even on the floor of the House it has been reported that the Madras P.W.D. Minister stated that he was not in a position to say definitely whether Mysore State has violated the terms of the agreement. He could have discussed with me before making such a statement. Madras is our good neighbour. We do not want to embitter the feelings between Mysore and Madras. I appeal to the Madras